1									
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT								
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK								
4	Lead Case No. 12-12796-reg Adv. Proc. No. 12-01740-reg								
5	x								
6	In the Matters of:								
7	FLETCHER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.,								
8	Debtor.								
9	x								
10	FLETCHER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.,								
11	Plaintiff,								
12	- against -								
13	FLETCHER INCOME ARBITRAGE FUND IN								
14	VOLUNTARY LIQUIDATION,								
15	Defendant.								
16	x								
17	United States Bankruptcy Court								
18									
	One Bowling Green								
19	One Bowling Green New York, New York								
19 20									
20	New York, New York								
20 21	New York, New York October 15, 2012								
20 21 22	New York, New York  October 15, 2012  9:30 AM								
20 21 22 23	New York, New York  October 15, 2012  9:30 AM  BEFORE:								
20 21 22 23 24	New York, New York  October 15, 2012  9:30 AM  B E F O R E:  HON. ROBERT E. GERBER								

```
1
 2
    12-01740-reg Fletcher International, Ltd. v. Fletcher Income
 3
    Arbitrage Fund in Voluntary Liquidation
 4
 5
    Ch 11 12-12796 Fletcher International, Ltd.
 6
 7
    Matter: Pre-trial Conference.
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
    Transcribed by: Penina Wolicki
21
    eScribers, LLC
    700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607
22
23
   New York, NY 10040
24
    (973)406-2250
25
    operations@escribers.net
```

```
1
 2
    APPEARANCES:
 3
    RICHARD J. DAVIS, ATTORNEY AT LAW
 4
          Chapter 11 Trustee
 5
          415 Madison Avenue
 6
          11th Floor
 7
          New York, NY 10017
 8
 9
    BY: RICHARD J. DAVIS, ESQ.
10
11
12
    LUSKIN, STERN & EISLER LLP
13
          Attorneys for Chapter 11 Trustee
14
          Eleven Times Square
15
          New York, NY 10036
16
17
    BY: MICHAEL LUSKIN, ESQ.
18
19
20
    HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP
          Attorneys for Fletcher Fixed Income Alpha Fund Ltd.
21
22
          31 West 52nd Street
23
          New York, NY 10019
24
25
    BY: BARBRA R. PARLIN, ESQ.
```

```
4
 1
 2
    UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
 3
          Office of the United States Trustee
 4
          33 Whitehall Street
 5
          21st Floor
 6
          New York, NY 10004
 7
 8
    BY: RICHARD C. MORRISSEY, ESQ.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                     eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250
```

operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1	PROCEEDINGS						
2	THE COURT: Good morning. Have seats, please.						
3	Mr. Luskin, good morning.						
4	MR. LUSKIN: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Michael						
5	Luskin; Luskin, Stern & Eisler, for the Chapter 11 trustee in						
6	the Fletcher matter. We're here, Your Honor, on a status						
7	conference on an adversary proceeding, your 01740 proceeding,						
8	the so-called control litigation.						
9	But I thought we would use this status conference as						
10	an opportunity to both introduce the trustee, give you a very						
11	brief overview of what the trustee's done in the last two weeks						
12	since his appointment, and to give the Court an idea as to what						
13	to expect in the next couple of weeks, and in particular, at						
14	the November 9th hearing which was previously scheduled and on						
15	which there are a number of pending motions.						
16	But with the Court's permission, I think the best way						
17	to proceed would be for you to hear from the trustee, if I						
18	could						
19	THE COURT: Sure.						
20	MR. LUSKIN: cede the floor to						
21	THE COURT: This is the first time that either of you						
22	has appeared before me on this case.						
23	MR. LUSKIN: Excuse me?						
24	THE COURT: This is the first time that either of you						
25	have appeared before me on this case.						

MR. LUSKIN: Yes, Your Honor. Just for -- the chronology is that following the two motions to appoint a trustee, the U.S. Trustee nominated Mr. Davis September 25th, and Your Honor signed the approval order on September 28th. So our appearance is of very, very recent vintage. And frankly, that is why we didn't want to wait until November 9th to first appear and make the introductions and give you a sense of where we're going with this.

THE COURT: Good enough.

MR. LUSKIN: Okay, so --

THE COURT: Mr. Davis, come on up, please.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much, Your Honor. Since my appointment, I've been meeting with all the parties. And the first thing I should report, because it's most germane to the actual purpose of this morning, is that we believe no further action will be required by the Court in the so-called control proceedings. We've met with the liquidating trustees; we've met with all the other interested parties. And I think we are working that out without further intervention by the Court being required.

We're also, obviously, during this two-week period, working on the transition from the debtor-in-possession to the trustee, and are receiving good cooperation from the debtor's counsel and the -- Conway MacKenzie, which was the proposed CRO. So I think on that issue, things are going smoothly.

## FLETCHER INTERNATIONAL V. FLETCHER INCOME ARBITRAGE

Obviously we are -- we have two core functions, as I see it. One is obviously to review and manage the assets to see what is possible in terms of securing value from those assets. And the second thing is to conduct an appropriate investigation. In order to assist in both of those, we will -- I will be proposing to retain Goldin Associates to assist both on the management of the assets side, as well as on the forensic investigation side.

We have, in the last two weeks, I think, already started work to create the foundation to be able to proceed with that. With the goal being, obviously, I think we have to recognize that my obligation is to do a thorough investigation while recognizing that this estate is not an estate that has an extraordinary amount of cash. So we are striving to do this in as an effective, cost-effective way as possible.

We are receiving, at this point, I think, good cooperation from all the parties. But at the same time, one of the things we will be filing shortly is a 2004 application, because we think having that authority in place will, again, enable the investigative part of my responsibilities to proceed in the most efficient and cost-efficient way, although, again, we are receiving good cooperation and hope that a lot of information we need will be supplied voluntarily.

THE COURT: Okay. You or Mr. Luskin know that under my case management orders, 2004 applications are taken on

presentment, not ex parte.

MR. DAVIS: That's correct.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DAVIS: I mean, we're cognizant of that, and I think that will be one of the things that we may be adding to the calendar for November 9th, if we can do it at that time.

THE COURT: What you and he might want to consider is putting it on presentment for a date that works for you and is fair to anybody who might have a different view, and include it on a calendar, in case anybody wants to be heard on it, so you can keep it moving forward.

MR. DAVIS: We will do that, Your Honor. Thank you very much.

So the plan -- again, the last two weeks have been spent, as I've described. And the goal is to proceed in as expeditious a fashion, so that the investigative phase does not linger but is done, as I say, by focusing now and trying to get it done without making this a -- as I said to some of the people, I don't intend to make this a career in terms of doing this investigation; to try and do it in an expeditious but thorough manner.

THE COURT: Okay. To either you or to Mr. Luskin and Mr. Davis. Matters get put on my calendar without me being aware of what they are until a week or so before they're scheduled. What do we have on that day -- did you say November

1	9 you or Mr. Luskin say November 9?						
2	MR. DAVIS: I think Mr. Luskin was going to go through						
3	the various things that are on the calendar. And obviously, as						
4	to some of them, I'll be having to make some judgments as to						
5	whether I think they should proceed in the manner in which the						
6	original motion is contemplated.						
7	THE COURT: All right.						
8	MR. DAVIS: Let me hand that to Mr. Luskin if that's						
9	all right with Your Honor.						
10	THE COURT: Anything else from you before you hand off						
11	back to Mr. Luskin?						
12	MR. DAVIS: Only if Your Honor has any questions.						
13	THE COURT: No, I'm covered for now. Thanks.						
14	MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Your Honor.						
15	THE COURT: Mr. Luskin?						
16	MR. LUSKIN: Thank you, Your Honor. On the 9th, first						
17	off, I respectfully would suggest that you not look at anything						
18	until at least a week before. My plan is to give you, a week						
19	before, an agenda or a status memo on where things stand.						
20	THE COURT: Okay.						
21	MR. LUSKIN: If we can if that will work.						
22	THE COURT: That's hardly a hardship from my						
23	perspective.						
24	MR. LUSKIN: Okay.						
25	THE COURT: I have other things to keep myself						

1 occupied.

MR. LUSKIN: I'm sure you do. There are retention applications relating to debtor's professionals which are on for the 9th; I believe for the debtor's Bermuda counsel, for the debtor's financial consultant, the CRO, and there may be one other. We will be speaking with debtor's counsel and counsel for the CRO about how those should be handled.

Obviously --

THE COURT: Let me interrupt you, please.

MR. LUSKIN: Yes.

THE COURT: Are we talking about getting the retentions in place so they have a handle for getting paid for the period before you and Mr. Davis stepped in, or are you talking about a continuing role for debtor professionals?

MR. LUSKIN: The former. Retention both because they will, of course, want to be paid for their pre-trustee work.

Also, in fairness, Your Honor, we have received excellent cooperation from both Young Conaway and Conway MacKenzie on just the transition, on getting their work product, getting the benefit of, in particular, financial information. And that will have to be dealt with.

And our proposal -- our hope is that we will negotiate something that's acceptable to all parties, including the U.S. Trustee's Office, and present it to Your Honor as a proposed way to deal with their work -- their retention and their work

## FLETCHER INTERNATIONAL V. FLETCHER INCOME ARBITRAGE

in the pre-trustee period and the debtor-to-trustee transition period. And that would then be the end of it for the debtor's professionals.

We also will put on the calendar, we're filing today, the trustee's application to retain my firm. And we will also, I hope, file within the next day or two, the retention papers for Goldin Associates. We have to get those to the U.S. Trustee for his review first, and we'll do that later today. So we should be able to file both of those this week, so they're on for the 9th. And I'm hopeful there won't be any issues with respect to either of those retention applications.

There is also on for the 9th a cash collateral motion to approve a stipulation that was previously negotiated between the debtor parties -- or the debtor and the related -- parties related to the debtor on the one hand, and Credit Suisse on the other. One of the things that the trustee is going to be doing in the next -- really this week, is to open up contact with Credit Suisse and their counsel, I believe that's Milbank, and see what issues there might be on the cash collateral order that's been presented.

That's one where I cannot today tell you what the outcome is going to be, but I can tell you that it's certainly our hope and desire that we will be presenting a stipulation on the use of cash collateral.

Credit Suisse is asserting a lien over both -- really

# FLETCHER INTERNATIONAL V. FLETCHER INCOME ARBITRAGE

over all the liquid assets that the estate has, not only cash, but there are some securities that appear to be readily marketable. So needless to say, we're taking a close look at that. And when those are freed up, we -- one of the things that the trustee is considering is whether or not both as a business matter and as a practical matter, some or all of the liquid securities need to be sold so that there's cash in the estate.

The business point would be to avoid concentration of the portfolio, to have all your liquid assets in one holding.

And as a practical matter, the investigation and asset management is going to require cash going forward, and we're going to need to get our hands around that.

THE COURT: To what extent do you guys have liquidity apart from those securities?

MR. LUSKIN: I would say very, very little. Cash and securities. Credit Suisse is holding onto cash. And then there's also probably about ten different assets -- main assets in the debtor's name, and one, maybe two of those is liquid.

THE COURT: Um-hum.

MR. LUSKIN: So we're going to be looking into that fairly intensively over the next week or two. And with respect to the November 9th motion, what that means is there'll be both cash collateral, and there may be a 363 or similar kind of motion to deal with a particular asset. I just can't tell you

now.

THE COURT: You're talking about an asset, particular at 363, like for leave to sell a certain issuance of securities or something like that, as compared and contrasted to a GM-style 363?

MR. LUSKIN: Absolutely, Your Honor. I'm talking about a traditional --

THE COURT: The way it used to be.

MR. LUSKIN: -- old-style 363 sale; a particular asset, business judgment, no creeping plan issues, nothing like that.

THE COURT: I'm with you.

MR. LUSKIN: Okay. That's -- so those -- cash collateral is on the calendar. 363 may be on the calendar. And then Your Honor -- Mr. Davis and Your Honor had a colloquy about 2004. Our plan was frankly to file it and notice it up for the same hearing, unless we decide there's some urgency that requires short notice. The thought was to draft a 2004 order and proposed confidentiality order; vet it with the parties; file it on notice; give people time to put in written objections if they so desire; and then finalize it, hopefully, at the hearing on the 9th. And again, we hope that would be on consent.

Again, we've received cooperation from all the parties we've spoken with. But there are non-parties and traditional

# FLETCHER INTERNATIONAL V. FLETCHER INCOME ARBITRAGE

third parties	that are	going to	require	subpoenas	before	they
produce bank i	records or	other k	inds of :	information	ı <b>.</b>	

So that is the plan. Hearing on the 9th to deal with that catalog of motions --

THE COURT: Excuse me a second, Mr. Luskin.

Liz, you're closer to the door. Can you find out what's going on -- all that noise is? I don't know if you'll be able to find out easily, but it's driving me nuts.

Continue if you would, please, Mr. Luskin.

MR. LUSKIN: Okay. So hearing on the 9th with the catalog of motions. And I think well in advance of that, we would give you either an agenda or some kind of written status report, maybe in the form of a letter -- we don't have to clutter the record with it -- to let you know where we -- what to expect: which are disputed, which will be contested, which won't be contested.

THE COURT: Um-hum.

MR. LUSKIN: And I believe that's all I have, unless the Court has any further questions.

THE COURT: No, that's fine for now, Mr. Luskin. I'm going to regard this as a case conference. And if any of the other stakeholders' counsel want to be heard, I'm going to give them that chance.

Mr. Morrissey, are you taking over for Ms. Gasparini?
MR. MORRISSEY: Yes, Your Honor. Just for the record,

# FLETCHER INTERNATIONAL V. FLETCHER INCOME ARBITRAGE

	Richard Morrissey for the U.S. Trustee. Yes, Ms. Gasparini has					
	left our office. And I just wanted to apprise the Court of one					
	development in the case, which is, the 341 meeting, which					
	originally was held on August 16th was continued to Friday,					
	October 12th and held and closed. And at that meeting, Mr.					
	Davis introduced himself to the parties that appeared for that.					
	Your Honor, obviously the case is in a new posture.					
	Mr. Luskin mentioned retentions in this case. And one					
retention that the Court is not going to have to deal with, it						
seems, in the foreseeable future, is conflict						
THE COURT: Forgive me, Mr. Morrissey. You're						
	competing with the drilling and the hammering. Would you pull					
the microphone closer to you, please.						
	MR. MORRISSEY: Yes, certainly, Your Honor. There's					
	not going to be the need of conflicts counsel here for the					
	trustee. I think that will save the estate some money, because					

not going to be the need of conflicts counsel here for the trustee. I think that will save the estate some money, because as Mr. Davis has said, the amount of money on hand here is not infinite.

And Your Honor, obviously, we'll be looking forward to working with the trustee with regard to the retentions that both Mr. Davis and Mr. Luskin have mentioned, and hopefully we'll all be on the same page when November 9th rolls around. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Anybody else?
Okay. Then, thanks very much, Mr. Luskin, Mr. Davis.

Pg 16 of 17 FLETCHER INTERNATIONAL V. FLETCHER INCOME ARBITRAGE Good luck in this case. MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much, Your Honor. MR. LUSKIN: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 9:46 AM) 

CERTIFICATION I, Penina Wolicki, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. Penina waish. PENINA WOLICKI AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET\*\*D-569 eScribers 700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607 New York, NY 10040 Date: October 16, 2012